

Name: ihsan durdu

Organization: Rep of Turkey at GAC

Submission ID: 112

Questions Concerning the Proposal as a Whole

1) Completeness and clarity: Is the combined proposal complete? Each of the operational community proposals contains aspects to be completed in the future when the proposal is implemented. Is the combined proposal specified in sufficient detail such that it can be evaluated against the NTIA criteria?

I think it is quite well prepared. It is complete and clear. It is well detailed that it can be evaluated for NTIA criteria.

2) Compatibility and interoperability: Do the operational community proposals work together in a single proposal? Do they suggest any incompatible arrangements where compatibility appears to be required? Is the handling of any conflicting overlaps between the functions resolved in a workable manner?

I believe the proposals of OCs can work together in a single proposal.

3) Accountability: Do the operational community proposals together include appropriate and properly supported independent accountability mechanisms for running the IANA functions? Are there any gaps in overall accountability under the single proposal?

Certainly, I believe under new suggested proposal, there is much more accountability than the existing situation.

4) Workability: Do the results of any tests or evaluations of workability that were included in the operational community proposals conflict with each other or raise possible concerns when considered in combination?

I do not think that the results of any tests or evaluations of workability that were included in the operational community proposals conflict with each other nor raise possible concerns when considered in combination.

Questions Concerning NTIA Criteria

5) Do you believe the proposal supports and enhances the multistakeholder model? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe

are necessary.

Certainly I believe that the proposal better supports and enhances the multistakeholder model than the existing arrangement.

6) Do you believe the proposal maintains the security, stability, and resiliency of the DNS? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

I think that there will be not much of a change since the same staff with all their experience and dedication will transfer to the new proposed entity namely PTI. I also support the accountability suggestion of removing or replacing the whole ICANN Board by the community just in case if they do not dedicate themselves.

7) Do you believe the proposal meets the needs and expectations of the global customers and partners of the IANA services? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary. Please indicate if you are a customer or partner of the IANA services.

I am not a customer nor partner of the IANA services; but I believe that the proposal would meet the needs and expectations of the global customers and partners of the IANA services.

8) Do you believe the proposal maintains the openness of the Internet? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

The proposal certainly maintains the openness of the Internet more so than the existing structure where NTIA gets involved.

9) Do you have any concerns that the proposal is replacing NTIA's role with a government-led or inter-governmental organization solution? If yes, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary. If not, please explain why.

Under the suggested proposal, it is the multistakeholder model that replaces NTIA's role; namely ICANN, is not with a government-led or inter-governmental organization. Of course, within ICANN, there is GAC, but GAC, by its mandate and bylaws is only for giving advice. I support the suggesting

of the Stress Test 18 that is requiring consensus (instead of voting) in reaching to a GAC advice; that certainly would lead to better perceived GAC advisory work within ICANN in the future.

10) Do you believe that the implementation of the proposal will continue to uphold the NTIA criteria in the future? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

I believe that the implementation of the proposal will continue to uphold the NTIA criteria in the future. Support and enhance the multistakeholder model;
The proposal will maintain the security, stability, and resiliency of the Internet DNS; meet the needs and expectations of the global customers and partners of the IANA services; and will maintain the openness of the Internet. There is also no suggestion for a government-led or an inter-governmental organization solution either.

Questions Concerning ICG Report and Executive Summary

11) Do you believe the ICG report and executive summary accurately reflect all necessary aspects of the overall proposal? If not, please explain what modifications you believe are necessary.

I believe that the ICG reports and its executive summary accurately reflect all necessary aspects of the overall proposal.

General Questions

12) Do you have any general comments for the ICG about the proposal?

I believe it is well prepared and deserves recognition for implementation.