Name: Michael Darby

Organization: America

Submission ID: 143

Questions Concerning the Proposal as a Whole

1) Completeness and clarity: Is the combined proposal complete? Each of the operational community proposals contains aspects to be completed in the future when the proposal is implemented. Is the combined proposal specified in sufficient detail such that it can be evaluated against the NTIA criteria?

No. It assumes the Internet does not belong to America ... which it does. All your foreigners should be grateful we let you use it.

2) Compatibility and interoperability: Do the operational community proposals work together in a single proposal? Do they suggest any incompatible arrangements where compatibility appears to be required? Is the handling of any conflicting overlaps between the functions resolved in a workable manner?

No. It is incompatible with the fact that the United States invented and owns the Internet. The only overlapping conflict is that you are arrogant enough to think we will not step in and take it all back.

3) Accountability: Do the operational community proposals together include appropriate and properly supported independent accountability mechanisms for running the IANA functions? Are there any gaps in overall accountability under the single proposal?

No. ICANN does not realize that America already holds you responsible for this rouge takeover action and current ICANN administration should resign immediately as all facets of ICAN are returned to America.

4) Workability: Do the results of any tests or evaluations of workability that were included in the operational community proposals conflict with each other or raise possible concerns when considered in combination?

Yes. It conflicts with America and means you should flee and go dark, as your only hope for surviving when the troops arrive shortly.

Questions Concerning NTIA Criteria

5) Do you believe the proposal supports and enhances the multistakeholder model? If yes,

please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.
No. There is no multistakeholder model. That was just to placate people. But, this action has gone too far!
6) Do you believe the proposal maintains the security, stability, and resiliency of the DNS? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.
NO. NO. NO! Because DNS belongs to America. I propose you run now.
7) Do you believe the proposal meets the needs and expectations of the global customers and partners of the IANA services? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary. Please indicate if you are a customer or partner of the IANA services.
NO. Modify the proposal to move ICANN to Washington D.C. into a secure facility. This may mean your survival. IANA offers no services.
8) Do you believe the proposal maintains the openness of the Internet? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.
No. America owns the Internet.
9) Do you have any concerns that the proposal is replacing NTIA's role with a government-led or inter-governmental organization solution? If yes, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary. If not, please explain why.
Yes. America owns the Internet.
10) Do you believe that the implementation of the proposal will continue to uphold the NTIA criteria in the future? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what

proposal modifications you believe are necessary.
No. America owns the Internet.
Questions Concerning ICG Report and Executive Summary
11) Do you believe the ICG report and executive summary accurately reflect all necessary aspects of the overall proposal? If not, please explain what modifications you believe are necessary.
No. America owns the Internet.
General Questions
12) Do you have any general comments for the ICG about the proposal?
Yes. America owns the Internet.