Name: Jeffrey Ludwig

Organization: N/A

Submission ID: 146

Questions Concerning the Proposal as a Whole

1) Completeness and clarity: Is the combined proposal complete? Each of the operational community proposals contains aspects to be completed in the future when the proposal is implemented. Is the combined proposal specified in sufficient detail such that it can be evaluated against the NTIA criteria?

2) Compatibility and interoperability: Do the operational community proposals work together in a single proposal? Do they suggest any incompatible arrangements where compatibility appears to be required? Is the handling of any conflicting overlaps between the functions resolved in a workable manner?

The main compatibility issue I perceive is with the freedoms contained in our Bill of Rights, most particularly freedom of speech, freedom of religion, and freedom of the press. Any U.S. governmental or NGO is automatically under the jurisdiction of these Constitutional strictures; whereas these principles have to be "negotiated" or "flushed out" when we involve ourselves with non-U.S.A. entities. In fact, I am not of the view that any other country in the world or international organization is able to protect these rights better than the U.S. government and laws. Even here there are many discrepancies and issues to be resolved. How much more so when extra-territorial entities are involved?

3) Accountability: Do the operational community proposals together include appropriate and properly supported independent accountability mechanisms for running the IANA functions? Are there any gaps in overall accountability under the single proposal?

If the Internet is overseen by U.S. operational personnel then mechanisms are in place. The court system and the administration "under the laws" are already in place. No "mechanisms" need to be created.

4) Workability: Do the results of any tests or evaluations of workability that were included in the operational community proposals conflict with each other or raise possible concerns when considered in combination?

See my comments to questions #3 and #4.

Questions Concerning NTIA Criteria

5) Do you believe the proposal supports and enhances the multistakeholder model? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

6) Do you believe the proposal maintains the security, stability, and resiliency of the DNS? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

7) Do you believe the proposal meets the needs and expectations of the global customers and partners of the IANA services? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary. Please indicate if you are a customer or partner of the IANA services.

8) Do you believe the proposal maintains the openness of the Internet? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

9) Do you have any concerns that the proposal is replacing NTIA's role with a government-led or inter-governmental organization solution? If yes, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary. If not, please explain why.

10) Do you believe that the implementation of the proposal will continue to uphold the NTIA criteria in the future? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

Questions Concerning ICG Report and Executive Summary

11) Do you believe the ICG report and executive summary accurately reflect all necessary aspects of the overall proposal? If not, please explain what modifications you believe are necessary.

General Questions

12) Do you have any general comments for the ICG about the proposal?

The Internet must not become a tool of political agendas generated by other countries, especially non-Western countries. I only want the USA to supervise my internet activity!!!!