

Name: Michael Adams

Submission ID: 148

Questions Concerning the Proposal as a Whole

1) Completeness and clarity: Is the combined proposal complete? Each of the operational community proposals contains aspects to be completed in the future when the proposal is implemented. Is the combined proposal specified in sufficient detail such that it can be evaluated against the NTIA criteria?

NO, it does not address the issue of how NTIA and the Department of Commerce relinquishing IANA control benefits consumers and small businesses in the long term.

2) Compatibility and interoperability: Do the operational community proposals work together in a single proposal? Do they suggest any incompatible arrangements where compatibility appears to be required? Is the handling of any conflicting overlaps between the functions resolved in a workable manner?

I do not believe that the community proposals are ideal for the internet.

3) Accountability: Do the operational community proposals together include appropriate and properly supported independent accountability mechanisms for running the IANA functions? Are there any gaps in overall accountability under the single proposal?

There are no real ideas on how ICANN can effectively be monitored in this bureaucratic garbage. Up until this point the DoC and NTIA have served an important role in forcing ICANN to make key changes and block price increases for current .COM contract.

4) Workability: Do the results of any tests or evaluations of workability that were included in the operational community proposals conflict with each other or raise possible concerns when considered in combination?

The concern is that all the ICANN are assholes trying to get more control over DNS and IANA.

Questions Concerning NTIA Criteria

5) Do you believe the proposal supports and enhances the multistakeholder model? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

NO!

The "multistakeholder" model means that there are actually a lot of organizations and people that will be included. In reality what I've read here is more ICANN dribble on how they can control IANA and the DNS system more without oversight from any organization. Self policing models never work.

6) Do you believe the proposal maintains the security, stability, and resiliency of the DNS? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

NO

7) Do you believe the proposal meets the needs and expectations of the global customers and partners of the IANA services? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary. Please indicate if you are a customer or partner of the IANA services.

No, since there is a self policing model over control of ICANN instead of a real community based model.

8) Do you believe the proposal maintains the openness of the Internet? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

Fuck no!

The modifications should be that perpetual contracts are to be BANNED and that ICANN should NOT be allowed to negotiate any registry contracts. It should be required that every 2-3 years the .COM, .NET, and .ORG contracts are allowed to come up for competitive bidding.

If any company attempts to file a lawsuit over control of registry services, they are banned from bidding for any contracts for 10 years.

ICANN has proven to be a weak organization without any backbone on on domain and freemarket competition.

9) Do you have any concerns that the proposal is replacing NTIA's role with a government-led or inter-governmental organization solution? If yes, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary. If not, please explain why.

There will ZERO oversight of ICANN and it's role managing the DNS system and IANA.

NTIA and the DoC need to put in provisions to maintain control and inject fair practices when necessary.

10) Do you believe that the implementation of the proposal will continue to uphold the NTIA criteria in the future? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

No, since there won't be any NTIA oversight.

Questions Concerning ICG Report and Executive Summary

11) Do you believe the ICG report and executive summary accurately reflect all necessary aspects of the overall proposal? If not, please explain what modifications you believe are necessary.

You need to burn it and start over.

General Questions

12) Do you have any general comments for the ICG about the proposal?

How come don't just give monkeys some laptops and let them write a proposal? This would be better than letting bureaucratic fuckers ruin the watchdog oversight that is current in place over ICANN and it's control over IANA.