

Name: Minyet B

Submission ID: 153

Questions Concerning the Proposal as a Whole

1) Completeness and clarity: Is the combined proposal complete? Each of the operational community proposals contains aspects to be completed in the future when the proposal is implemented. Is the combined proposal specified in sufficient detail such that it can be evaluated against the NTIA criteria?

Extremely convoluted and insider speak. No attempt to humanize these issues and make them understandable to people outside of the niche Internet world. It's like asking your best friends if they think you're great. Of course they think you're great!

2) Compatibility and interoperability: Do the operational community proposals work together in a single proposal? Do they suggest any incompatible arrangements where compatibility appears to be required? Is the handling of any conflicting overlaps between the functions resolved in a workable manner?

I feel like there needs to be more than the "operational community" that comes into this. Who is the "operational community" anyway? Am I part of them? Is someone else?

3) Accountability: Do the operational community proposals together include appropriate and properly supported independent accountability mechanisms for running the IANA functions? Are there any gaps in overall accountability under the single proposal?

There are always gaps in accountability. Don't expect to get to perfect and always leave room for adaptability.

4) Workability: Do the results of any tests or evaluations of workability that were included in the operational community proposals conflict with each other or raise possible concerns when considered in combination?

I'm sure they do. How can they not?

Questions Concerning NTIA Criteria

5) Do you believe the proposal supports and enhances the multistakeholder model? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe

are necessary.

No one understands what the multistakeholder model is and everyone seems to have a different definition. If it enhances is or not is up to how you define "multistakeholder model".

6) Do you believe the proposal maintains the security, stability, and resiliency of the DNS? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

Can you explain what a good model of security, stability, and resiliency of the DNS would be?

7) Do you believe the proposal meets the needs and expectations of the global customers and partners of the IANA services? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary. Please indicate if you are a customer or partner of the IANA services.

Do we know what the "expectations of the global customers and partners of the IANA services" are? Is there a link that outlines this clearly?

8) Do you believe the proposal maintains the openness of the Internet? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

Not really as this whole process was done by the insider club with no attempt to make anything accessible or meet even basic readability scores.

9) Do you have any concerns that the proposal is replacing NTIA's role with a government-led or inter-governmental organization solution? If yes, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary. If not, please explain why.

Sure. The whole thing was done in a non-accessible elitist way.

10) Do you believe that the implementation of the proposal will continue to uphold the NTIA criteria in the future? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what

proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

What is the criteria? Can you link to it?

Questions Concerning ICG Report and Executive Summary

11) Do you believe the ICG report and executive summary accurately reflect all necessary aspects of the overall proposal? If not, please explain what modifications you believe are necessary.

Do you have a link to the report? Anywhere I could read it? It would have been great to include this in the survey. If not you're making assumptions about what's people know and don't know. Again, cool kids club.

General Questions

12) Do you have any general comments for the ICG about the proposal?

The language and communications around this whole thing has been extremely exclusive and exclusionary. It makes me very distrustful of the whole thing. This survey is extremely poor from a UX standpoint and makes a lot of assumptions about what people know and don't know ... but that's been the whole process.