

**Name:** Jeff Eshom

**Submission ID:** 22

## **Questions Concerning the Proposal as a Whole**

**1) Completeness and clarity: Is the combined proposal complete? Each of the operational community proposals contains aspects to be completed in the future when the proposal is implemented. Is the combined proposal specified in sufficient detail such that it can be evaluated against the NTIA criteria?**

**2) Compatibility and interoperability: Do the operational community proposals work together in a single proposal? Do they suggest any incompatible arrangements where compatibility appears to be required? Is the handling of any conflicting overlaps between the functions resolved in a workable manner?**

**3) Accountability: Do the operational community proposals together include appropriate and properly supported independent accountability mechanisms for running the IANA functions? Are there any gaps in overall accountability under the single proposal?**

**4) Workability: Do the results of any tests or evaluations of workability that were included in the operational community proposals conflict with each other or raise possible concerns when considered in combination?**

## **Questions Concerning NTIA Criteria**

**5) Do you believe the proposal supports and enhances the multistakeholder model? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.**

**6) Do you believe the proposal maintains the security, stability, and resiliency of the DNS? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you**

**believe are necessary.**

**7) Do you believe the proposal meets the needs and expectations of the global customers and partners of the IANA services? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary. Please indicate if you are a customer or partner of the IANA services.**

**8) Do you believe the proposal maintains the openness of the Internet? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.**

Absolutely not. Privatization in this manner is a back door to remove net-neutrality. The internet must remain a public sector venture.

**9) Do you have any concerns that the proposal is replacing NTIA's role with a government-led or inter-governmental organization solution? If yes, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary. If not, please explain why.**

Yes. Privatization would be extremely destructive to the Internet as a whole. It is a loop-hole for net-neutrality. With such a privatization will come lack of standardization, and monetizing of everything.

**10) Do you believe that the implementation of the proposal will continue to uphold the NTIA criteria in the future? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.**

#### **Questions Concerning ICG Report and Executive Summary**

**11) Do you believe the ICG report and executive summary accurately reflect all necessary aspects of the overall proposal? If not, please explain what modifications you believe are necessary.**

## **General Questions**

### **12) Do you have any general comments for the ICG about the proposal?**

Please do not privatize. How will openness be maintained? In every venture that is privatized quality always plummets. Costs always skyrocket. The internet has become a necessity for modern life. The act of transferring would be extremely destructive.