Name: Filiz Yilmaz

Submission ID: 24

Dear colleagues,

Since the beginning of the IANA transition process, as a member of the Number Community, I have followed and contributed to the development of the Number Community's proposal on the future stewardship of the IANA functions. The IANA Stewardship Transition Coordination Group (ICG) has now published their first draft of a proposal that brings together the proposals from each of the IANA communities (numbers, names and protocol parameters). I have reviewed the ICG proposal from the perspective of someone who was very supportive of the Number Community's proposal. I have also reviewed the CRISP team's recent response to the ICG proposal and would like to offer the following observations:

- I agree that it is time for the IANA stewardship transition, and I support the process by which the transition plan was developed.
- I believe that the criteria established by the NTIA to judge the multistakeholder community proposal are reasonable and in keeping with the Internet community's principles.
 - I believe that the ICG draft proposal satisfies the criteria developed by the NTIA.
- I support the bottom-up, multistakeholder model and believe it is the foundation of the ongoing success of the Internet.
- I support the community-driven processes and oversight mechanisms that are the cornerstone of our mature RIR system and community.
- I am in support of the combined proposal, and as a member of the Number Community, I have no major issues for number resources component.
- I am also in agreement with the points made in CRISP team's response to the combined ICG proposal.

I am sharing this feedback to the ICG on the Number Community's <ianaxfer@nro.net> mailing list too, and would like to encourage others who followed or were involved in the development of the Number Community proposal to provide their feedback to the ICG as well.

Finally I would like to express my gratitude to the ICG and the CRISP team for their work.

Kind regards

Filiz Yilmaz