Name: Marc Blanchet

Organization: IETF IANAPLAN WG

Submission ID: 28

Questions Concerning the Proposal as a Whole

1) Completeness and clarity: Is the combined proposal complete? Each of the operational community proposals contains aspects to be completed in the future when the proposal is implemented. Is the combined proposal specified in sufficient detail such that it can be evaluated against the NTIA criteria?

see response to question 12.

2) Compatibility and interoperability: Do the operational community proposals work together in a single proposal? Do they suggest any incompatible arrangements where compatibility appears to be required? Is the handling of any conflicting overlaps between the functions resolved in a workable manner?

see response to question 12.

3) Accountability: Do the operational community proposals together include appropriate and properly supported independent accountability mechanisms for running the IANA functions? Are there any gaps in overall accountability under the single proposal?

see response to question 12.

4) Workability: Do the results of any tests or evaluations of workability that were included in the operational community proposals conflict with each other or raise possible concerns when considered in combination?

see response to question 12.

Questions Concerning NTIA Criteria

5) Do you believe the proposal supports and enhances the multistakeholder model? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

see response to question 12.

6) Do you believe the proposal maintains the security, stability, and resiliency of the DNS? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

see response to question 12.

7) Do you believe the proposal meets the needs and expectations of the global customers and partners of the IANA services? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary. Please indicate if you are a customer or partner of the IANA services.

see response to question 12.

8) Do you believe the proposal maintains the openness of the Internet? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

see response to question 12.

9) Do you have any concerns that the proposal is replacing NTIA's role with a government-led or inter-governmental organization solution? If yes, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary. If not, please explain why.

see response to question 12.

10) Do you believe that the implementation of the proposal will continue to uphold the NTIA criteria in the future? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

see response to question 12.

Questions Concerning ICG Report and Executive Summary

11) Do you believe the ICG report and executive summary accurately reflect all necessary aspects of the overall proposal? If not, please explain what modifications you believe are necessary.

see response to question 12.

General Questions

12) Do you have any general comments for the ICG about the proposal?

The IETF IANAPLAN WG has reviewed the draft ICG proposal within the
context of the WG's charter (http://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/ianaplan/charter/) — specifically, "Should proposals
made by other communities regarding the transition of other IANA
functions affect the IETF protocol parameter registries or the IETF, the
WG may also review and comment on them." The IETF IANAPLAN working
group continues to believe that a transition away from a US Government
role in IANA management and oversight is appropriate and confirms
consensus of its participants that the draft proposal is not perceived
to pose problems for the Protocol Parameters function or to interfere with
the development or safe use of IETF standards. The IETF raised two
transition points that are mentioned in Paragraph 3062 of the proposal.
We would ask that they be referenced in Part 0, Section V of the
proposal as well.

On behalf of the IETF IANAPLAN WG,
Marc Blanchet and Leslie Daigle, IETF IANAPLAN working group co-chairs