

Name: Owen DeLong

Submission ID: 4

In general, I think the draft SLA is excellent work.

However, I have one major issue with it as written:

I find 15.1 particularly problematic.

I would like to see it include language requiring that the RIRs get consent of their communities on any new agreement prior to executing it.

Otherwise, the RIR boards and/or the RIR CEOs can literally replace the agreement in its entirety without community involvement or approval.

Beyond that, the following nits should also be addressed...

Minor nit: Page vi "... agreement ICANN is refer to as..." should be "... agreement ICANN is referred to as..."

Why would we choose Paris for the arbitration? I can understand not wanting to be in a country that hosts an RIR or ICANN, but surely we can find such a country with better internet connectivity to support use of electronic communication whenever possible. I realize EU is readily accessible to all of the regions in question, but wouldn't Germany or UK make more sense from a connectivity perspective?

13.5 cries out desperately for wordsmithing. It is a horribly awkward runon sentence.

14.1 NIT: "...in which Operator1s main office..." should be "... in which Operator's main office...".

Thanks,

Owen