Name: Shreedeep Rayamajhi

Submission ID: 48

Please find attached my comments for the IANA Stewardship Transition Proposal

Cheers to Life Shreedeep Rayamajhi REDACTED

**DISCLAIMER:** This message is intended only for the recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.

## **IANA Stewardship Transition Proposal - Public Comment Form**

Disclaimer: The ICG will not use the information collected for any purpose other than analyzing public comments. Submitters' names, affiliations, and comments will be public.

## **Identifying Information**

\* Indicates required field

First Name: Shreedeep

Last Name: Rayamajhi

Email Address: REDACTED

Country/Economy: Nepal/ Asia Pacific

Organization Activist and Blogger

Do you have any general comments for the ICG about the proposal?

I strongly believe the proposal forwarded by the ICG is very compatible to practice a multistakeholder Internet governance model which will further help in the growth and development of internet. The ICG proposal is workable in establishing a definite stability in the overall IANA functions.

The IANA function needs to work with a more proactive environment harnessing the core values of dynamics of internet (security, stability and openness).

The dynamic of internet is changing and with this change the nature and values of control mechanism should change. Internet today is the asset and property of the global world which needs to be controlled and regulated by Multistakeholder approach balancing and bridging the gaps.

The concern should not be about the controlling but lessening the gaps in between the developed and the developing countries flowing the rule of standardization.

Bridging the gaps is an important step and ICG's proposal can be a pavement for the process. Though there are more scope of improvements but it can be a new beginning.

Cheers Shreedeep Rayamajhi