

Name: Richard Hunter

Organization: The Home Depot

Submission ID: 5

Questions Concerning the Proposal as a Whole

1) Completeness and clarity: Is the combined proposal complete? Each of the operational community proposals contains aspects to be completed in the future when the proposal is implemented. Is the combined proposal specified in sufficient detail such that it can be evaluated against the NTIA criteria?

No the combined proposal is not complete. It lacks a way to completely remove and disband it. We do not need another government EPA to regulate the internet in any form.

2) Compatibility and interoperability: Do the operational community proposals work together in a single proposal? Do they suggest any incompatible arrangements where compatibility appears to be required? Is the handling of any conflicting overlaps between the functions resolved in a workable manner?

No the operational community proposals do not work together in a single proposal because there is no single proposal to eliminate and remove this entire process.

3) Accountability: Do the operational community proposals together include appropriate and properly supported independent accountability mechanisms for running the IANA functions? Are there any gaps in overall accountability under the single proposal?

Yes there are gaps in overall accountability under the single proposal because there is no accountability in this IANA function. We the people do not get to vote on the IANA, who sits in it, who runs it, while we have to fund it.

4) Workability: Do the results of any tests or evaluations of workability that were included in the operational community proposals conflict with each other or raise possible concerns when considered in combination?

Yes there are conflicts in the operational community proposals because this allows too much power in the hands of too few people.

Questions Concerning NTIA Criteria

5) Do you believe the proposal supports and enhances the multistakeholder model? If yes,

please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

No I do not believe the proposal supports and enhances the multistakeholder model. I propose you do nothing and disband and cancel the IANA functions. We simply cannot afford another EPA like entity funded by American citizens.

6) Do you believe the proposal maintains the security, stability, and resiliency of the DNS? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

No, this proposal does not maintain the security, stability, and resiliency of the DNS. In fact, this will do the opposite by limiting the freedom individuals have over their gifts and talents and it will make the platforms less secure, more watched and therefore limit free speech, less stable, less resilient, and put this power in the hands of our enemies who will never agree to these rules and laws.

7) Do you believe the proposal meets the needs and expectations of the global customers and partners of the IANA services? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary. Please indicate if you are a customer or partner of the IANA services.

No, the proposal does not meet the needs and expectations of the global customers and partners of the IANA services because our enemies will never agree to this and will continue to hack into our lives and government.

8) Do you believe the proposal maintains the openness of the Internet? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

I believe this proposal will do just the opposite and close the internet by censoring and limiting free speech and the exchange of ideas and thoughts. This proposal puts excessive power in the hands of a few people who will determine outcomes. I find it similar to the Supreme Court of America where a few supposed master minds will make poor decisions similar to Plessy v Ferguson, or Koramotsu and similar.

9) Do you have any concerns that the proposal is replacing NTIA's role with a government-led or inter-governmental organization solution? If yes, please explain why and what

proposal modifications you believe are necessary. If not, please explain why.

Yes I am concerned the proposal is replacing NTIA's role with a government-led or inter-governmental organization solution. As stated above too much power in the hands of a too few supposed noble and virtuous human beings who are flawed and susceptible to power and money. My suggestion: close down immediately and stop doing this.

10) Do you believe that the implementation of the proposal will continue to uphold the NTIA criteria in the future? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

No I do not believe that the implementation of the proposal will continue to uphold the NTIA criteria in the future. My suggestion, end this non-sense and close down.

Questions Concerning ICG Report and Executive Summary

11) Do you believe the ICG report and executive summary accurately reflect all necessary aspects of the overall proposal? If not, please explain what modifications you believe are necessary.

No I do not believe the ICG report and executive summary accurately reflect all necessary aspects of the overall proposal because there is no way to end this enterprise when bad decisions are made and no one is held accountable, while the people who created the very laws that destroyed free speech and ideas are millionaires and billionaires. I think this should be cancelled that nothing should be done by this organization and that you should all go home and try to add value.

General Questions

12) Do you have any general comments for the ICG about the proposal?

I detest this entire proposal where is the ability to end it this program by the people? One more government program is going to do nothing to give people their individual freedom to pursue their endeavors.