

**Name:** Michael Lesage

**Submission ID:** 6

## **Questions Concerning the Proposal as a Whole**

**1) Completeness and clarity: Is the combined proposal complete? Each of the operational community proposals contains aspects to be completed in the future when the proposal is implemented. Is the combined proposal specified in sufficient detail such that it can be evaluated against the NTIA criteria?**

**2) Compatibility and interoperability: Do the operational community proposals work together in a single proposal? Do they suggest any incompatible arrangements where compatibility appears to be required? Is the handling of any conflicting overlaps between the functions resolved in a workable manner?**

**3) Accountability: Do the operational community proposals together include appropriate and properly supported independent accountability mechanisms for running the IANA functions? Are there any gaps in overall accountability under the single proposal?**

**4) Workability: Do the results of any tests or evaluations of workability that were included in the operational community proposals conflict with each other or raise possible concerns when considered in combination?**

## **Questions Concerning NTIA Criteria**

**5) Do you believe the proposal supports and enhances the multistakeholder model? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.**

**6) Do you believe the proposal maintains the security, stability, and resiliency of the DNS? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you**

**believe are necessary.**

**7) Do you believe the proposal meets the needs and expectations of the global customers and partners of the IANA services? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary. Please indicate if you are a customer or partner of the IANA services.**

**8) Do you believe the proposal maintains the openness of the Internet? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.**

**9) Do you have any concerns that the proposal is replacing NTIA's role with a government-led or inter-governmental organization solution? If yes, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary. If not, please explain why.**

Yes. The US at least pretends to believe in free speech, while many of the other new stakeholder governments, i.e. Russia, China, North Korea, the whole of the Middle East do not. How will the internet remain open and free when it is under the control of many who do not believe in freedom and openness? Why should the content available to me be reduced because some organizations or governments don't want me to have access to it? Will the new multi stakeholder model work as well as the UN? How long until pressure is exerted to 'cleanse' the internet of anything deemed anti-Islam, or anti-China etc.? Basically, I fail to see the benefit of inviting certain parties to the decision making process. Isn't it kind of like inviting the bullies to supervise the activities at recess, generally a very poor idea?

**10) Do you believe that the implementation of the proposal will continue to uphold the NTIA criteria in the future? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.**

**Questions Concerning ICG Report and Executive Summary**

**11) Do you believe the ICG report and executive summary accurately reflect all necessary aspects of the overall proposal? If not, please explain what modifications you believe are necessary.**

**General Questions**

**12) Do you have any general comments for the ICG about the proposal?**