

Name: Pindar Wong

Submission ID: 70

To Whom It May Concern,

As an early member of the Internet numbering community from the mid-90's, and as the first Vice-Chairman of the ICANN Board, I welcome the opportunity to state my support for the ICG's proposal for the IANA Stewardship Transition.

While it has taken far longer than I have expected to get to this point, it has nonetheless been a pleasure to see our community-driven processes mature; yet also evolve and adapt to the changing needs of the Internet itself. I'm reminded of the strength of our open and inclusive processes that allow 'now-outsiders' like myself to continue to share whatever experience and perspectives that we may have garnered over the years for whatever they may be worth.

From my observation of the recent process:-

- I believe that the CRISP Team proposal properly reflects the views of my community, gathered through an inclusive, bottom-up, multistakeholder approach
<http://www.nro.net/crisp-final-proposal>
- I believe that the ICG proposal properly reflects the content of the CRISP proposal
<https://www.ianacg.org/icg-files/documents/IANA-stewardship-transition-proposal-EN.pdf>
- I support the statement by the CRISP Team, which concludes that the ICG proposal does satisfy all necessary requirements
<https://www.nro.net/crisp-response-icg-proposal>
- I am pleased with the transparency and the inclusive nature of the process itself and this opportunity to comment
- I strongly recommend that the operational community proposals be considered as a coherent whole that works together as a single proposal, and
- I echo the observations made by the Internet Society (ISOC) in its paper "Perspectives on the IANA Stewardship Transition Principles"

May I conclude by thanking the ICG for your attention and for work on this matter.

Yours sincerely,

Pindar Wong