Name: Paul Nehlen

Submission ID: 8

Questions Concerning the Proposal as a Whole

1) Completeness and clarity: Is the combined proposal complete? Each of the operational community proposals contains aspects to be completed in the future when the proposal is implemented. Is the combined proposal specified in sufficient detail such that it can be evaluated against the NTIA criteria?

Great question. The USA developed the Internet through DARPA funding and we should not release it to any entity that is not fully controlled by the USA. This is not workable and should remain in USA control.

2) Compatibility and interoperability: Do the operational community proposals work together in a single proposal? Do they suggest any incompatible arrangements where compatibility appears to be required? Is the handling of any conflicting overlaps between the functions resolved in a workable manner?

Great question. The USA developed the Internet through DARPA funding and we should not release it to any entity that is not fully controlled by the USA. This is not workable and should remain in USA control.

3) Accountability: Do the operational community proposals together include appropriate and properly supported independent accountability mechanisms for running the IANA functions? Are there any gaps in overall accountability under the single proposal?

Great question. The USA developed the Internet through DARPA funding and we should not release it to any entity that is not fully controlled by the USA. This is not workable and should remain in USA control.

4) Workability: Do the results of any tests or evaluations of workability that were included in the operational community proposals conflict with each other or raise possible concerns when considered in combination?

Great question. The USA developed the Internet through DARPA funding and we should not release it to any entity that is not fully controlled by the USA. This is not workable and should remain in USA control.

5) Do you believe the proposal supports and enhances the multistakeholder model? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

Great question. The USA developed the Internet through DARPA funding and we should not release it to any entity that is not fully controlled by the USA. This is not workable and should remain in USA control.

6) Do you believe the proposal maintains the security, stability, and resiliency of the DNS? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

Great question. The USA developed the Internet through DARPA funding and we should not release it to any entity that is not fully controlled by the USA. This is not workable and should remain in USA control.

7) Do you believe the proposal meets the needs and expectations of the global customers and partners of the IANA services? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary. Please indicate if you are a customer or partner of the IANA services.

Great question. The USA developed the Internet through DARPA funding and we should not release it to any entity that is not fully controlled by the USA. This is not workable and should remain in USA control.

8) Do you believe the proposal maintains the openness of the Internet? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

Great question. The USA developed the Internet through DARPA funding and we should not release it to any entity that is not fully controlled by the USA. This is not workable and should remain in USA control. This proposal dies not maintain openness of the Internet, quite the opposite.

9) Do you have any concerns that the proposal is replacing NTIA's role with a government-led or inter-governmental organization solution? If yes, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary. If not, please explain why.

Great question. The USA developed the Internet through DARPA funding and we should not release it to any entity that is not fully controlled by the USA. This is not workable and should remain in USA control. This proposal dies not maintain openness of the Internet, quite the opposite.

10) Do you believe that the implementation of the proposal will continue to uphold the NTIA criteria in the future? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

Great question. The USA developed the Internet through DARPA funding and we should not release it to any entity that is not fully controlled by the USA. This is not workable and should remain in USA control. This proposal dies not maintain openness of the Internet, quite the opposite.

Questions Concerning ICG Report and Executive Summary

11) Do you believe the ICG report and executive summary accurately reflect all necessary aspects of the overall proposal? If not, please explain what modifications you believe are necessary.

Great question. The USA developed the Internet through DARPA funding and we should not release it to any entity that is not fully controlled by the USA. This is not workable and should remain in USA control. This proposal dies not maintain openness of the Internet, quite the opposite.

General Questions

12) Do you have any general comments for the ICG about the proposal?

Great question. The USA developed the Internet through DARPA funding and we should not release it to any entity that is not fully controlled by the USA. This is not workable and should remain in USA control. This proposal dies not maintain openness of the Internet, quite the opposite.