

**Name:** Guo Feng

**Organization:** CAICT

**Submission ID:** 80

Hi Sir/Madam,

CHINA ACADEMY OF ICT would put forward comments on IANA Stewardship Transition Proposal.

Please check document enclosed. The document is in Chinese version. We assume that the resources will be allocated for the translation.

Thanks a lot.

Guo Feng

**Comments about the Proposal for the  
Transition of IANA Oversight Function  
Given by the  
China Academy of Information and  
Communications Technology**

(September 2015)

The IANA Stewardship Coordination Group (ICG) published an updated proposal for the transition of IANA oversight functions in July. The China Academy of Information and Communications Technology (CAICT) appreciates that the ICG has integrated the three sub-proposals of domain names, protocol parameters and numbers, including responses to communities' requests. CAICT would like to take this opportunity to express its thankfulness to ICG, CWG-Stewardship, CRISP Team, IANAPLAN WG members and other parties who put forth their efforts and contributions on behalf of the proposal, and we would like to give the following comments on the current integrated proposal:

Firstly, considering the importance of IANA oversight function transition, in order to fully listen to the comments from Internet communities globally, we suggest that the commenting time be appropriately extended and that the stakeholders be encouraged to give comments through various means.

Secondly, we reiterated that ICANN and PTI play an important role in the operation and management of Internet critical resources, and to some extent they take responsibility for the global public services to some extent. Therefore, to further enhance accountability and transparency, ICANN and PTI should take the following affair as the important working direction and establish system safeguards: breaking away from the United States legal system so as not to be controlled by any single country or group.

Thirdly, we note that this transition proposal has further explained the mechanisms of CSC, PTI, IFR and others. We hope that the member composition of these mechanisms is rational in order to ensure the safe, stable operation of IANA functions as well as the accountability and transparency of the IANA oversight function. The member composition of these mechanisms should take the following factors into account: professional knowledge, geographical balance, community representation, conflict of

being primarily assigned by the ICANN Board or management. The member composition of the CSC and IFR should ensure the broad representativeness and perform effective oversight of the operation of IANA functions. The representatives from the IDN registries should occupy seats in the CSC.

Fourthly, the institution that is, in the future, responsible for the daily technology operation of IANA functions should be subject to the mandatory constraints. We should grant the Internet communities the right to replace the IANA functions operator, but we should not make special arrangements in order to grant a certain organization the right to permanently operate IANA functions. We suggest the further clarification of whether the reviewing decisions or comments from IFR and Special IFR are mandatory to PTI, and that the manner in which to ensure that the reviewing comments from IFR and Special IFR can be implemented be made clear.