

Name: Zhong Rui

Organization: Internet Society of China

Submission ID: 95

Questions Concerning the Proposal as a Whole

- 1) **Completeness and clarity:** Is the combined proposal complete? Each of the operational community proposals contains aspects to be completed in the future when the proposal is implemented. Is the combined proposal specified in sufficient detail such that it can be evaluated against the NTIA criteria?

- 2) **Compatibility and interoperability:** Do the operational community proposals work together in a single proposal? Do they suggest any incompatible arrangements where compatibility appears to be required? Is the handling of any conflicting overlaps between the functions resolved in a workable manner?

- 3) **Accountability:** Do the operational community proposals together include appropriate and properly supported independent accountability mechanisms for running the IANA functions? Are there any gaps in overall accountability under the single proposal?

- 4) **Workability:** Do the results of any tests or evaluations of workability that were included in the operational community proposals conflict with each other or raise possible concerns when considered in combination?

Questions Concerning NTIA Criteria

- 5) Do you believe the proposal supports and enhances the multiple-stakeholder model? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

- 6) Do you believe the proposal maintains the security, stability, and resiliency of the DNS? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

7) Do you believe the proposal meets the needs and expectations of the global customers and partners of the IANA services? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary. Please indicate if you are a customer or partner of the IANA services.

8) Do you believe the proposal maintains the openness of the Internet? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

9) Do you have any concerns that the proposal is replacing NTIA's role with a government- led or inter-governmental organization solution? If yes, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary. If not, please explain why.

10) Do you believe that the implementation of the proposal will continue to uphold the NTIA criteria in the future? If yes, please explain why. If not, please explain why and what proposal modifications you believe are necessary.

Questions Concerning ICG Report and Executive Summary

11) Do you believe the ICG report and executive summary accurately reflect all necessary aspects of the overall proposal? If not, please explain what modifications you believe are necessary.

General Questions

12) Do you have any general comments for the ICG about the proposal?

The Internet Society of China (ISC) is extremely grateful for the fruitful work of ICG and various communities, offering congratulations on the successful integration of operational communities' proposals and extending thanks to ICANN for providing, in such a timely manner, the Chinese version of the proposal and the Chinese commentary channel.

ISC learns from the domain name proposal that PTI Board members would be assigned by ICANN, but it has not yet seen more detailed PTI board member selection rules. Moreover, there is a lack of description for the decision-making mechanisms and processes of this board of directors. Therefore, we suggest that improvements on the aspects above be made in the follow-up work.

The objectives of the IANA function review team include assessing the performance of IANA function operators and any related oversight or supervisory bodies, and the improvements need to be made. However, in regard to what kinds of measurements or principles should be taken to promote and safeguard and ensure that these suggestions for improvement can be put into effect, there is a lack of clear description in this proposal.

These two comments above are for reference only. Again, we appreciate and commend the work of ICG and communities!